

# Occupational Class, Tenure, and Voting

Paul R. Yarnold, Ph.D.

Optimal Data Analysis, LLC

A globally-optimal (GO) classification model yields moderate accuracy in modeling the voting behavior of  $N = 695$  people as a function of occupational class.<sup>1</sup>

Attributes were occupational *class* (5-point ordered scale) and *tenure* (4-point ordered scale), and the class variable was *vote* (conservative or labour).<sup>1</sup> The minimum-denominator selection algorithm (MDSA) was used to identify the descendant family of all possible enumerated-optimal CTA (EO-CTA) models that exist in this application (all models except the first had sufficient statistical power).<sup>2</sup> The unrestricted initial (most granular) model in the family was identified via the following CTA software<sup>3</sup> syntax:

```
OPEN vote.dat;
OUTPUT vote.out;
VARS vote class tenure;
CLASS vote;
ATTRIBUTE class tenure;
MC ITER 5000 CUTOFF .05 STOP 99.9;
PRUNE .05;
ENUMERATE;
GO;
```

Table 1 summarizes the descendant family of three EO-CTA models: model number indicates order of discovery by MDSA;  $N_{\text{MIN}}$  is the size of the smallest (least populated) strata (endpoint) in the model; *ESS* is a chance- and maximum-corrected measure of predictive accu-

racy; and  $D$  is the number of additional equivalent effects needed to obtain a theoretically ideal statistical model in this application.<sup>2</sup>

Table 1: The Descendant Family

<u>Model</u>	<u><math>N_{\text{MIN}}</math></u>	<u>Strata</u>	<u>ESS</u>	<u><math>D</math></u>
1	36	5	46.5	5.75
2	45	3	41.9	4.16
3	269	2	41.0	2.87

Model 3 (if class  $\leq 2$  then predict vote = conservative; otherwise predict vote = labour) has the lowest  $D$  statistic and so is the globally-optimal (GO) model in this application: *ESS* was a statistically significant ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and moderate effect (the exact discrete 95% CI for *ESS* is 33.2 – 48.7 for the model, and 0.18 – 7.3 for chance). Table 2 presents the confusion table summarizing predictive accuracy of the model.

Table 2: GO Model Predictive Accuracy

		<u>Predicted Vote</u>		
<u>Actual</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Con</u>	<u>Lab</u>	<u>Sensitivity</u>
		206	142	
<u>Vote</u>	<u>Lab</u>	63	284	81.8%
<u>Predictive Value</u>		76.6%	66.7%	

## References

<sup>1</sup>Gilbert N (1993). Analyzing tabular data: Log-linear and logistic models for social researchers. London, England: UCL Press (pp. 52-62).

<sup>2</sup>Yarnold PR, Soltysik RC (In Review). *Maximizing predictive accuracy*. Chicago, IL: ODA Books.

<sup>3</sup>Soltysik RC, Yarnold PR (2010). Automated CTA software: Fundamental concepts and control commands. *Optimal Data Analysis, 1*, 144-160. URL:  
<http://odajournal.com/2013/09/19/62/>

## Author Notes

The study analyzed de-individuated data and was exempt from Institutional Review Board review. No conflict of interest was reported.

Mail: Optimal Data Analysis, LLC  
6348 N. Milwaukee Ave., #163  
Chicago, IL 60646  
USA